

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/612,764 06/30/2003 Vassoudevane Lebonheur 884.862US1 5896 21186 **EXAMINER** 7590 04/07/2005 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. MANDALA, VICTOR A P.O. BOX 2938 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 2826

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SW

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Office Action Summary | 10/612,764 | LEBONHEUR ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Victor A. Mandala Jr. | 2826 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | |
| Status | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 January 2005. | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This | action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-30</u> are subject to restriction | and/or election requirement. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | r. | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | |
| | | |
| Attachment(s) | A) □ 1=4== 1 | (DTO 442) |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa | atent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | |

Application/Control Number: 10/612,764

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claim 6 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 1/10/05.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Subspecies I,

Figure 2;

Subspecies II,

Figure 3;

Subspecies III,

Figure 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, where the Applicant has elected Species I filed on 1-10-05, but Species I contains subspecies within the species, thus the Applicant is requested to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently there are no generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/612,764 Page 3

Art Unit: 2826

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER ECHNOLORY CENTER 2800

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor A. Mandala Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8am till 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VAMJ 3/21/05